What exactly is Hinman Syndrome?
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Who was Hinman and what is Hinman Syndrome?
Frank Hinman Junior (1915–2011) first described ‘Hinman syndrome’ in the 1970s – a condition also known as a ‘non-neurogenic neurogenic bladder’. He was a renowned American urologist, educator and skillful artist and was the son of Frank Hinman senior, the first trained urologist in California [1].

After reviewing an eight-year-old boy with nocturnal enuresis, urinary tract infections and a ‘Christmas tree’ bladder with upper tract compromise on imaging in the absence of any underlying neurological abnormality, he identified a new phenomenon and called it the non-neurogenic neurogenic bladder [2].

Incidence of Hinman Syndrome
Hinman syndrome is an uncommon condition and typically presents in early infancy, often after toilet training. The condition has been found to resolve after puberty in many cases [3].

A study which looked at 1000 children with incontinence found that up to 32% had dysfunctional voiding [4], however the exact figure remains to be determined. Moreover, the incidence of this condition among the adult population remains unknown. Initially a 0.5% prevalence rate was reported [5], however results from a study evaluating approximately 1000 adult patients with intermittent flow patterns and urethral contractions / pelvic floor activity during micturition undergoing video urodynamics suggested that this disorder is more common than previously recognised, with an incidence of up to 2% [6].

Proposed pathophysiology
The precise pathophysiology of Hinman syndrome remains unclear. It is a type of functional bladder outlet obstruction, thought to be caused by voluntary contractions of the external urethral sphincter during voiding. It is understood to be an abnormal, learned response to uncontrolled bladder contractions which usually develops early in life [2]. Hinman and his colleague Baumann both proposed that this incoordination of detrusor-sphincter function can lead to both structural and functional changes including incomplete bladder emptying, recurrent urinary tract infections and a functionally small trabeculated bladder in the most severe cases with subsequent vesico-ureteric reflex resulting in hydronephrosis [7,8].

Some of these children were found to have idiopathic detrusor overactivity. A study investigating 40 children with storage lower urinary tract symptoms and incontinence with video urodynamics found that 75.7% of children with detrusor overactivity had dysfunctional voiding [9]. It was then proposed that in an attempt to prevent episodes of urinary incontinence, these children would voluntarily attempt to tighten their external urethral sphincter muscle, resulting in impaired sphincter relaxation during voluntary voiding [10].

The uncoordinated detrusor-sphincter function in neuropathic patients is also known as detrusor-sphincter dyssynergia (DSD) but in non-neuropathic patients can be better understood as dysfunctional voiding.

Hinman syndrome or non-neurogenic neurogenic bladder is another term for dysfunctional voiding but has been regarded as obsolete by the International Children Continence Society (ICCS). The ICCS define dysfunctional voiding as a urodynamic entity characterised by an intermittent and/or fluctuating flow due to involuntary intermittent contractions of the striated muscle of the external urethral
sphincter or pelvic floor during voiding in neurologically normal individuals [11]. This usually occurs as the child habitually contracts the urethral sphincter or pelvic floor during voiding [12].

Contrary to the above, a relatively recent study looked at 12 patients with urodynamically-proven bladder dysfunction, renal scarring on DMSA imaging and no neurological pathology on MRI imaging. All patients were found to have incoordination of the external sphincter and bladder during voiding on urodynamics resulting in high post-void residual volumes. They subsequently underwent diffusion tensor imaging to further evaluate their lumbosacral plexus and were found to have abnormalities, suggesting that Hinman syndrome may in fact have a neuropathic aetiology [13]. These abnormalities included increased T2 signals, lower fractional anisotropy values and higher mean diffusivity values. Further work in this area is however required before confirming this association.

Presentation and assessment of Hinman Syndrome

Children with non-neurogenic neurogenic bladders typically present with a mixed picture of storage lower urinary tract symptoms (frequency and urgency), voiding lower urinary tract symptoms, incontinence, recurrent urinary tract infections, incidental bladder wall thickening and / or hydronephrosis on imaging, and very occasionally with encoporesis [6].

If the condition progresses through to adulthood before diagnosis, presentation is classically with storage and voiding lower urinary tract symptoms and recurrent urinary tract infections [6].

History and examination has to be thorough and specific to exclude underlying neurological abnormalities. Further evaluation should include completion of a bladder diary, uroflowmetry, ultrasonography of the urinary tract to check for any upper tract changes and to accurately measure post-void residual volume. Videourodynamic studies (VCMG) are used to help identify any bladder dysfunction, to assess the bladder outline, capacity, storage pressure, compliance and to look for vesico-ureteric reflux. Cystourethroscopy can also be performed to help exclude any anatomical obstruction. A dysfunctional voiding symptom score (DVSS) can be used to measure the severity of symptoms [14]. Magnetic resonance imaging of the lumbosacral spine should be reserved for those with neurological findings on examination or complex skeletal deformity on plain x-ray [15].

Conservative treatment options are only suitable for those without features of upper tract impairment [23-25]. Patients with renal involvement or those whom fail to respond to behavioural therapy are candidates for surgical intervention or may even require renal replacement therapy.

Sacrocolpopexy (SNM) for patients who have failed numerous other therapies has been previously advocated but so far, data is only anecdotal [26]. SNM is a well-established successful treatment option for detrusor overactivity as well as for idiopathic urinary retention in women. It has been used widely in women with Fowler’s syndrome; an eponymously named syndrome of female urinary retention associated with polycystic ovarian syndrome. The underlying pathophysiology of Fowler’s syndrome is thought to be a high tone non-relaxing urethral sphincter (HTNRS) as well as an exaggerated pro-confinement guarding response which in turn inhibits bladder afferent and efferent activity. In these women, SNM is thought to work by restoring function through these signalling pathways [27]. Based on this mechanism of action, it can be proposed that SNM may have a role in patients with Hinman syndrome [28,29].

Intra-sphincter injections of Botulinum Toxin A (Botox) have been used to treat spinal cord injury patients with DSD as well as those with HTNRS [30,31]. It has also been trialled in this group of patients [32]. A study including 10 children who all underwent intra-sphincteric injections of Botulinum Toxin A showed promising results with improvement in the degree of hydronephrosis and reflux, reduction in post-void residual volumes and a decrease in detrusor leak point pressures [33].

Patients who do not respond to conservative treatment, SNM or sphincteric Botox injections, or in those with upper tract involvement and renal impairment, need to be considered for urinary tract reconstrution [34,35].

In those with low grade vesico-ureteric reflux, a STING (sub-ureteric Teflon injection) procedure can be offered [36]. If this fails, or in cases of high-grade reflux and renal compromise ureteric re-implantation may be considered. Other reconstructive treatment options include augmentation cystoplasty and urinary diversion (formation of a Mitrofanoff channel to a continent catheterisable

**Children with non-neurogenic neurogenic bladders typically present with a mixed picture of storage and voiding lower urinary tract symptoms**
bladder or ileal conduit [37]. For patients with end stage renal failure, dialysis [35] and occasionally renal transplantation may be necessary in some cases.

Conclusion

Hinman syndrome is an eponymously named syndrome established in the early 1970s, referring to children with features of neurogenic bladder dysfunction in the absence of any underlying neurological disease. If left untreated, this can progress through to adulthood and result in hydroureronephrosis and renal impairment.

The International Children’s Continence Society has regarded this term as obsolete and now refers to this condition as dysfunctional voiding.
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